SCIENCE CHINA
Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy

• Research Paper •

October 2010 Vol. 0 No. 0: 1–4
doi:

arXiv:1406.1592v1 [astro-ph.CO] 6 Jun 2014

Constraining Photon Mass by Energy-Dependent Gravitational Light Bending

QIAN Lei[1]

[1]*National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China;*

In the standard model of particle physics, photons are mass-less particles with a particular dispersion relation. Tests of this claim at different scales are both interesting and important. Experiments in territory labs and several exterritorial tests have put some upper limits on photon mass, e.g. torsion balance experiment in the lab shows that photon mass should be smaller than 1.2×10^{-51}g. In this work, this claim is tested at a cosmological scale by looking at strong gravitational lensing data available and an upper limit of 8.71×10^{-39}g on photon mass was given. Observations of energy-dependent gravitational lensing with not yet available higher accuracy astrometry instruments may constrain photon mass better.

astrometry, sun, galaxy: nuclei

PACS: 97.80.Fk, 97.10.Cv, 97.60.Bw, 97.20.Rp

1 Introduction

Photons are particles mediating electromagnetic force. In the standard model of particle physics, they are zero-mass particles with a particular dispersion relation

$$E^2 = p^2c^2, \tag{1}$$

where E, p, and c are energy, momentum, and the speed of light, respectively. This property is closely related to the inverse square law of electrostatic force between two charges

$$F = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{q_1 q_2}{r^2}, \tag{2}$$

where F is the electric force between the two charges q_1 and q_2 with a separation of r, and ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity.

If a photon has a non-zero mass m, the dispersion relation becomes

$$E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4. \tag{3}$$

Also, the electrostatic potential takes on a Yukawa form of

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}\frac{q}{r}e^{-\mu r}, \tag{4}$$

accounting for the finite range of the force mediated by a non-zero mass particle. Electromagnetism with non-zero mass photon is also different, and can be described by[1]

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho - \mu^2 V, \tag{5}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \tag{6}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} - \mu^2 \mathbf{A}, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{B}$, ρ, $\mathbf{J}$, V, $\mathbf{A}$ and c are electric field, magnetic induction, charge density, current density, scalar potential, vector potential and the speed of light, respectively, while $\mu^{-1} = \hbar/mc$ is the Compton wavelength of photons, with m denoting photon mass. Note that in equation (5) and equation (8), there are additional terms $\mu^2 V$ and $\mu^2\mathbf{A}$, which vanish when photon mass m is zero, and then the above equations are just the Maxwell equations.

These effects caused by a non-zero photon mass can be used to constrain photon mass itself. The dispersion relation (3) means that non-zero mass photons with higher energies travel faster than lower energies ones. Therefore, measurements of the difference in arrival times of photons with different energies emitted simultaneously at the same place can be used to constrain photon mass. This has been done by analyzing gamma-ray burst data[2]. The key assumption

© Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2010

is that photons of different energies were emitted simultaneously, however, in reality this is not guaranteed, and this will limit the accuracy of the final constraint on photon mass.

Deviations from the inverse square law of electrostatic force can also be constrained by torsion balance experiments in territory labs, which infer an upper limit on photon mass of 1.2×10^{-51}g[3]. This is an effective way to constrain photon mass. However, it is a small scale test, which would not be effective if the Compton length of photons $\mu^{-1} = \hbar/mc$ is large (say, as large as a galaxy). Experiments have also been done to constrain the $\mu^2\mathbf{A}$ term in equation (8). With the estimation of $\mathbf{A}$, one can get a constraint on the Compton length of photons (also on photon mass)[1]. This method is limited by the accuracy of the estimation of $\mathbf{A}$. Some authors also mentioned the constraint on photon mass from the measurements of light bending by the sun[4-6], the estimated upper limit is about 10^{-40}g. For a more detailed review on constraining photon mass, one may refer to e.g. [7].

In this paper, we try to investigate the constraints on photon mass at a cosmological scale with strong gravitational lensing data. In section 2, we present the equations used in our analysis. Results are given in section 3. We then do some discussion in section 4. Hereafter in this paper we use natural units ($c = \hbar = 1$) for simplicity.

2 Equations

The bending of light within a gravitational field can be considered as the scattering of photons in this gravitational field[4]. The gravitational field is treated classically as a background.

The dispersion relation of a photon of energy E and mass m in the gravitation field of an object with mass M is

$$2\left(\frac{GM}{b}\right)^2 = \frac{1-\cos\theta}{\left(2+\frac{m^2}{E^2-m^2}\right)^2 + \frac{8}{3}\left(1+\frac{m^2}{E^2-m^2}\right)(1-\cos\theta)\ln(1-\cos\theta) - \frac{2}{3}(1-\cos\theta)^2}, \tag{9}$$

where G is the gravitational constant, b is the impact parameter and θ is the deflection angle. When θ is small and in the extreme relativistic limit $E \gg m$, this equation can be approximated as

$$\theta = \theta_E\left(1+\frac{m^2}{2E^2}\right), \tag{10}$$

where $\theta_E = \frac{4GM}{b}$ is the Einstein radius of the gravitating object. This approximation is good enough even for small E/m (see Figure 1).

It is convenient to define a relative deviation

$$\Delta\theta \equiv \frac{\theta-\theta_E}{\theta_E}. \tag{11}$$



Figure 1: *The solid line denotes the relation between relative deviation and the energy mass ratio E/m corresponding to equation(9). The dot-dashed line denotes the relation corresponding to equation(10). When E/m, equation (9) is a good approximation of equation(10).*

3 Results

From equation (9) and (10), it can be seen that the deflection angle of a photon in a gravitational field depends on its energy (or frequency). The relation between the relative deviation of deflection angle from the Einstein radius, $\Delta\theta \equiv (\theta-\theta_E)/\theta_E$, and the ratio, E/m, is shown in Figure 1. The relative deviation can be calculated by using equation (9) and (10).

Since the relative deviation $\Delta\theta$ is energy dependent, photon mass can be constrained by comparing the relative deviation in two different energy bands (say, $\Delta\theta_1$ at E_1 and $\Delta\theta_2$ at E_2). If $E_2 \gg E_1$, which means $\Delta\theta_2 \ll \Delta\theta_1$, we can use the approximation $\Delta\theta_1 \approx \Delta\theta_1 - \Delta\theta_2 \approx (\theta_1-\theta_2)/\theta_2$, where we have approximated θ_E with θ_2. That is, we can measure the deviation by comparing the gravitational lensing images in two different energy bands.

We make use of the data from CASTLES Survey database[1], which provides the properties of several strong gravitational sources. The sources with both infrared and radio data are selected and the image separations are listed in

[1]C.S. Kochanek, E.E. Falco, C. Impey, J. Lehar, B. McLeod, H.-W. Rix, http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/glensdata/

Table 1. The first column is the name of image pairs (e.g. PMN0134-0931 A-B means the image A and B in the source PMN0134-0931). The second and third column are the difference of right ascension and difference of declination between the two images of a pair in the infrared band, while the fourth and fifth column are those in the radio band. We denote the IR image separation with ϕ_2 and the radio image separation with ϕ_1 . Since the lensing patterns at different bands are geometrically similar, $\phi_1/\theta_1 = \phi_2/\theta_2$, and we have

$$\Delta\theta = \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_2} = \frac{\phi_1 - \phi_2}{\phi_2}. \quad (12)$$

Using equation (10), $m^2 = 2E^2\Delta\theta$ can be calculated, which scatter around 0 (see Figure 2). The standard deviation is $7.59 \times 10^{-77}\mathrm{g}^2$, which corresponds to an upper limit of 8.71×10^{-39}g on photon mass.

As can be seen from Figure 1, equation (10) is a good approximation of equation (9) when $\Delta\theta^{-1} = 2E^2/m^2 > 8$. The result above is consistent with this condition.



Figure 2: *Distribution of* $2E^2\Delta\theta$*. The abscissa is the index of image pairs. The horizontal line is the line corresponds to* $2E^2\Delta\theta = 0$.

4 Discussion

In this paper, strong gravitational lensing data are used to infer an upper limit of photon mass, 8.71×10^{-39}g.

As shown in Figure 2, the $2E^2\Delta\theta$ values inferred from the lensing data are roughly consistent with 0, but with large error bars. There are several reasons. The uncertainty in the determination of image position may be the dominant factor.

Since $m^2 = 2E^2\Delta\theta$, more accurate observation with lower frequencies can help to improve this measurement. To improve the accuracy, longer VLBI (Very Long Baseline Interferometry) base line is needed, which is possible with space VLBI (e.g. VSOP project in Japan). However, the improvement may be limited.

As mentioned before, in the constraints from gamma-ray burst data, non-simultaneous emissions of photons of different energies will affect the final result. In the current study, there are also problems. In a quasar, radiation in different energy bands may come from different regions. This may affect the constraint on photon mass. This error is intrinsic and will exist no matter how accurate the instruments are.

The author would like to thank Dr. YUE Youling for helpful discussions.

1 Lakes, R. Experimental Limits on the Photon Mass and Cosmic Magnetic Vector Potential. Physical Review Letters, 1998, 80: 1826 Astron Astrophys, 2009, 508: L27–L30
2 Ellis, J., et al., Quantum-gravity analysis of gamma-ray bursts using wavelets. A&A, 2003, 402: 409-424
3 Luo, J., et al., New Experimental Limit on the Photon Rest Mass with a Rotating Torsion Balance. Physical Review Letters, 2003, 90: 081801
4 Accioly, A. and R. Paszko, Photon mass and gravitational deflection. Physical Review D, 2004, 69: 107501
5 Lebach, D.E., et al., Measurement of the Solar Gravitational Deflection of Radio Waves Using Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry. Physical Review Letters, 1995, 75: 1439
6 Shapiro, S.S., et al., Measurement of the Solar Gravitational Deflection of Radio Waves using Geodetic Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry Data, 1979-1999. Physical Review Letters, 2004, 92(12): p. 121101
7 Goldhaber, A.S. and M.M. Nieto, Photon and graviton mass limits. Reviews of Modern Physics,2010, 82: 939
8 Winn, J.N. et al., PMN J0134-931: A Gravitationally Lensed Quasar with Unusual Radio Morphology. The Astrophysical Journal, 2002, 564: 143
9 Patnaik, A.R., R.W. Porcas, and I.W.A. Browne, VLBA observations of the gravitational lens system B0218+357. MNRAS, 1995, 274: L5
10 Katz, C.A. and J.N. Hewitt, Further radio investigations of gravitational lensing in MG 0414+0534. The Astrophysical Journal, 1993, 409: L9
11 Jackson, N., et al., B0712+472: a new radio four-image gravitational lens. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 1998, 296: 483-490
12 Marlow, D.R. and et al., CLASS B0739+366: A New Two-Image Gravitational Lens System. The Astronomical Journal, 2001, 121: 619
13 Lacy, M. and et al., The Reddest Quasars. II. A Gravitationally Lensed FeLoBAL Quasar. The Astronomical Journal, 2002, 123: 2925
14 Myers, S.T. and et al., CLASS B1152+199 and B1359+154: Two New Gravitational Lens Systems Discovered in the Cosmic Lens All-Sky Survey. The Astronomical Journal, 1999, 117: 2565
15 Rusin, D. and et al., B1359+154: A Six-Image Lens Produced by a z 1 Compact Group of Galaxies. The Astrophysical Journal, 2001, 557: 594
16 Patnaik, A.R., et al., B1422+231 - A new gravitationally lensed system at Z = 3.62. MNRAS, 1992, 259: 1.
17 Marlow, D.R. and et al., CLASS B1555+375: A New Four-Image Gravitational Lens System. The Astronomical Journal, 1999, 118: p. 654

Table 1: Separation of lensing images in different bands from CASTLE database.

Image pairs	Separation of IR image		Separation of Radio image		Relative separation ($\Delta\theta$)
	RA(arcsec)	Dec(arcsec)	RA(arcsec)	Dec(arcsec)	
PMNJ0134-0931[8] A-B	0.082±0.003	0.156±0.003	0.07918±0.00146	0.15069±0.00219	-0.034±0.028
PMNJ0134-0931 A-C [a]	0.539±0.003	0.415±0.003	0.53962±0.00120	0.41471±0.00151	0.000462±0.0069
B0218+357[9] A-B [b]	0.307±0.003	0.126±0.003	0.30920±0.00014	0.12740±0.00014	0.0077±0.013
MG0414+0534[10] [c] A1-B	0.600±0.003	1.942±0.003	0.5876±0.0003	1.9341±0.0003	-0.00550±0.0021
MG0414+0534 A2-B	0.732±0.003	1.549±0.003	0.7208±0.0003	1.5298±0.0003	-0.01292±0.0025
MG0414+0534 B-C	1.342±0.003	1.650±0.003	1.3608±0.0003	1.6348±0.0003	0.000098±0.0020
B0712+472[11] A-B	0.052±0.004	0.146±0.007	0.051±0.010	0.160±0.010	0.084±0.11
B0712+472 A-C	0.808±0.005	0.648±0.004	0.806±0.010	0.670±0.010	0.0119±0.015
B0712+472 A-D	1.186±0.007	0.463±0.004	1.163±0.010	0.460±0.010	-0.0176±0.013
B0739+366[12] A-B	0.222±0.004	0.485±0.004	0.2217±0.0001	0.4910±0.0001	0.0100±0.011
J1004+1229[13] A-B [d]	0.267±0.003	1.516±0.003	0.2633±0.0010	1.5172±0.0017	0.000354±0.0030
B1152+200[14] A-B [b]	0.936±0.003	1.246±0.003	0.935±0.005	1.248±0.005	0.000641±0.0052
B1359+154[15] d A-B	0.483±0.007	1.253±0.009	0.49020±0.00003	1.25240±0.00003	0.00152±0.0085
B1359+154 A-C	0.323±0.007	1.640±0.003	0.31126±0.00003	1.66956±0.00003	0.1604±0.0046
B1359+154 A-D	0.957±0.008	1.357±0.008	0.96257±0.00003	1.36864±0.00003	0.00766±0.0069
B1359+154 A-E	0.627±0.013	1.129±0.011	0.60876±0.00003	1.14296±0.00003	0.00275±0.013
B1359+154 A-F	0.426±0.016	0.951±0.028	0.42220±0.00003	0.96377±0.00003	0.0097±0.031
B1422+231[16] A-B	0.385±0.003	0.317±0.003	0.387±0.005	0.320±0.005	0.0069±0.017
B1422+231 B-C	0.336±0.003	0.750±0.003	0.332±0.005	0.750±0.005	-0.00198±0.010
B1422+231 B-D	0.984±0.004	0.802±0.003	0.939±0.005	0.810±0.005	-0.0231±0.068
B1555+375[17] A-C	0.417±0.014	0.013±0.008	0.412±0.001	0.028±0.001	-0.0102±0.038

a. The IR image C corresponds to D in Radio observation.
b. The radio observation is at a frequency of 15GHz.
c. The radio observation is at a frequency of 8GHz.
d. The radio observation is at a frequency of 1.7GHz.